

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED II		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/409,457	09/30/1999	MARTIN C. FLAUTT	24649A	5361		
7:	590 01/15/2002					
OWENS CORNING SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY			EXAMINER			
CENTER BLDG 54 1		EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI				
2790 COLUMI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
GRANVILLE,	OH 430231200		<u> </u>	TATER NOMBER		
			1713	15		
	•		DATE MAILED: 01/15/2002	10		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	P-	15
-		

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/409,457	FLAUTT ET AL.Z		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
 a)
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See final rejection.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .
Claim(s) objected to: None.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

DAVID W. WU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECH IOLOGY CENTER 1700

10. Other: ____

"Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended claim 1 to no longer read on the article concessing a water resistant coating, but to read on an article covered with an uncured dispersion/solution. This newly claimed invention raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The limitations added to claim 8 also raise new issues.